

ON THE GEOMETRIC FLOWS SOLVING KÄHLERIAN INVERSE σ_k EQUATIONS

HAO FANG, MIJIA LAI

ABSTRACT. In this note, we extend our previous work on the inverse σ_k problem. Inverse σ_k problem is a fully nonlinear geometric PDE on compact Kähler manifolds. Given a proper geometric condition, we prove that a large family of nonlinear geometric flows converges to the desired solution of the given PDE.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this note, we study general flows for the inverse σ_k -curvature problem in Kähler geometry. This is a continuation of our previous work [FLM].

Geometric curvature flow has been a central topic in the recent development of geometric analysis. The σ_k -curvature problems and inverse σ_k -curvature problems, fully nonlinear in nature, have appeared in several geometric settings. B.Andrews [A1, A2] studies the curvature flow of embedded convex hypersurfaces in the Euclidean space. Several authors study the σ_k -equation in conformal geometry, see e.g., [V, CGY, GW, B] and references therein. It is thus interesting to explore the corresponding problem in Kähler geometry.

In Kähler geometry, special cases of the σ_k -problem have appeared in earlier literature. Among them one important example is Yau's seminal work on the complex Monge-Ampère equations in Calabi conjecture. The general case has been studied recently in [H, HMW]. There exist, however, some analytical difficulties to completely solve this problem for $k < n$.

Another important example is the Donaldson's J -flow [D], which gives rise to an inverse σ_1 type equation. J -flow is fully studied in [Ch1, Ch2, SW]. The general case is described and treated in [FLM], via a specific geometric flow. In contrast to the σ_k -problem, we can pose nice geometric conditions to overcome the analytical difficulties for the inverse σ_k -problem. In this note, we construct more general geometric flows to solve this problem.

We now describe the problem in more details.

Let (M, ω) be a compact Kähler manifold without boundary. Let χ be another Kähler metric in the class $[\chi]$ different that $[\omega]$. We define

$$\sigma_k(\chi) = \binom{n}{k} \frac{\chi^k \wedge \omega^{n-k}}{\omega^n},$$

for a fixed integer $1 \leq k \leq n$. It is easy to see that $\sigma_k(\chi)$ is a global defined function on M ; and point-wise it is the k -th elementary symmetric polynomial on

The first-named author is supported in part by National Science Foundation grant DMS-1008249.

the eigenvalues of χ with respect to ω . Define

$$c_k := \frac{\int_M \sigma_{n-k}(\chi)}{\int_M \sigma_n(\chi)} = \binom{n}{k} \frac{[\chi]^{n-k} \cdot [\omega]^k}{[\chi]^n},$$

which is a topological constant depending only on cohomology classes $[\chi]$ and $[\omega]$.

In [FLM], we studied the following problem

Problem. *Let (M, ω) , χ and c_k be given as above, is there a metric $\tilde{\chi} \in [\chi]$ satisfying*

$$(1.1) \quad c_k \tilde{\chi}^n = \binom{n}{k} \tilde{\chi}^{n-k} \wedge \omega^k?$$

To tackle this problem, we consider the following geometric flow:

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi &= c_k^{1/k} - (\frac{\sigma_{n-k}(\chi_\varphi)}{\sigma_n(\chi_\varphi)})^{1/k} \\ \varphi(0) &= 0 \end{cases}$$

in the space of Kähler potentials of χ :

$$\mathcal{P}_\chi := \{\varphi \in C^\infty(M) | \chi_\varphi := \chi + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi > 0\}.$$

It is easy to see that the stationary point of the flow corresponds to the solution of (1.1).

When $k = 1$, (1.2) is the Donaldson's J -flow [D], defined in the setting of moment map (cf. [Ch1]). In this case, Song and Weinkove [SW] provide a necessary and sufficient condition for the flow to converge to the critical metric. For general k , this problem is solved in [FLM] with an analogous condition which we now describe.

We define $\mathcal{C}_k(\omega)$ to be

$$(1.3) \quad \mathcal{C}_k(\omega) = \{[\chi] > 0, \exists \chi' \in [\chi],$$

$$\text{such that } nc_k \chi'^{n-1} - \binom{n}{k} (n-k) \chi'^{n-k-1} \wedge \omega^k > 0^1\}.$$

Note for $k = n$, (1.3) holds for any Kähler class. Hence $\mathcal{C}_n(\omega)$ is the entire Kähler cone of M .

The necessity of the cone condition (1.3) is easy to see once we write (1.1) locally as

$$\frac{\sigma_{n-k}(\chi)}{\sigma_n(\chi)} = \sigma_k(\chi^{-1}) = c_k.$$

Here χ^{-1} denotes the inverse matrix of χ under local coordinates. Since $\chi^{-1} > 0$, we necessarily have

$$\sigma_k(\chi^{-1}|i) < c_k, \forall i.$$

This condition is equivalent to the cone condition (1.3). See Proposition 2.4 of [FLM].

The main result of [FLM] is the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Let (M, ω) be a compact Kähler manifolds. Let k be a fixed integer $1 \leq k \leq n$. Assume χ is another Kähler form with its class $[\chi] \in \mathcal{C}_k(\omega)$, then the flow*

$$(1.4) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi = c_k^{1/k} - (\frac{\sigma_{n-k}(\chi_\varphi)}{\sigma_n(\chi_\varphi)})^{1/k},$$

¹a positive $(n-1, n-1)$ form.

with any initial value $\chi_0 \in [\chi]$ has long time existence and converges to a unique smooth metric $\tilde{\chi} \in [\chi]$ satisfying

$$(1.5) \quad c_k \tilde{\chi}^n = \binom{n}{k} \tilde{\chi}^{n-k} \wedge \omega^k.$$

In this note, we generalize Theorem 1.1. We shall study an abstract flow on M of the form:

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi &= F(\chi_\varphi) - C, \\ \varphi(0) &= 0, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F(\chi_\varphi) &= f\left[\frac{\sigma_{n-k}(\chi_\varphi)}{\sigma_n(\chi_\varphi)}\right], \\ f &\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_{>0}, \mathbb{R}), \\ C &= f(c_k). \end{aligned}$$

Note that (1.2) is a special case of (1.6) for $f(x) = -x^{1/k}$.

Abusing notation, we also regard F as a symmetric function on

$$\Gamma_n := \{\chi \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \chi_1 > 0, \chi_2 > 0, \dots, \chi_n > 0\}$$

by treating $F(\chi_\varphi) = F(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)$, where (χ_i) are eigenvalues of χ_φ with respect to ω . Then by carefully examining the proof of Theorem 1.1 in [FLM], we need the following structure conditions on F :

- Ellipticity: $F_i > 0$,
- Concavity: $F_{ij} \leq 0$,
- Strong concavity: $F_{ij} + \frac{F_i}{\chi_j} \delta_{ij} \leq 0$.

Here $F_i = \frac{\partial F}{\partial \chi_i}$ and $F_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \chi_i \partial \chi_j}$. Note that concavity of F follows from strong concavity and ellipticity of F .

It is easy to check that $F(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) := -(\frac{\sigma_{n-k}(\chi)}{\sigma_n(\chi)})^{1/k}$ satisfies above conditions.

In this note, we prove the following:

Theorem 1.2 (Main theorem). *Let (M, ω) be a compact Kähler manifold. k is a fixed integer $1 \leq k \leq n$. Let χ be another Kähler metric such that $[\chi] \in \mathcal{C}_k$. Assume that $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_{>0}, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies the following conditions:*

$$(1.7) \quad f' < 0, \quad f'' \geq 0, \quad f'' + \frac{f'}{x} \leq 0,$$

then the flow (1.6) with any initial value $\chi_0 \in [\chi]$ has long time existence and the metric χ_φ converges in C^∞ norm to the critical metric $\tilde{\chi} \in [\chi]$ which is the unique solution of (1.1).

Remark 1.3. The novelty of our theorem is that there exists a large family of nonlinear geometric flows which yields the convergence towards the solution of inverse σ_k problem (1.1). For example, the function f can be chosen as $f(x) = -\ln x$ or $f(x) = -x^p$, for $0 < p \leq 1$. Note that for the special case $f(x) = -\ln x$ and $k = n$, we get an analogue of the Kähler-Ricci flow. For $f(x) = -x$ and $k = n$, a similar flow was studied in a recent paper [CK].

Remark 1.4. Theorem 1.2 is inspired and can be viewed as a Kähler analogue of Andrew's result [A2] on pinching estimates of evolutions of convex hypersurfaces. In fact, our structure conditions are very similar to those of his.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we discuss the conditions on f and strong concavity of F ; In Section 3, we give the proof of the main result.

2. STRONG CONCAVITY

In this section, we will explore concavity properties for functions involving the quotient of elementary symmetric polynomials.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\chi \in \Gamma_n$, $f : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, define $\rho(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) = f(\frac{\sigma_{n-k}(\chi)}{\sigma_n(\chi)})$, suppose f satisfies the following conditions:*

$$(2.1) \quad f' < 0, \quad f'' \geq 0, \quad f' + \frac{f''}{x} \leq 0.$$

Then ρ satisfies

- *Ellipticity:* $\rho_i > 0, \forall i$,
- *Concavity:* $\rho_{ij} \leq 0$,
- *Strong Concavity:* $\rho_{ij} + \frac{\rho_i}{\chi_j} \delta_{ij} \leq 0$.

We shall refer to the conditions in (2.1) as the structure conditions on f .

The proof is based on the following two propositions:

Proposition 2.2. *Let $g(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) = \log \sigma_k(\chi)$ and $\chi \in \Gamma_n$, then*

- $g_i > 0$,
- $g_{ij} \leq 0$,
- $g_{ij} + \frac{g_i}{\chi_j} \delta_{ij} \geq 0$.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $h(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) := -g(\frac{1}{\chi_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{\chi_n}) = -\log \sigma_k(\chi^{-1})$ and $\chi \in \Gamma_n$, then*

- $h_i > 0$,
- $h_{ij} \leq 0$,
- $h_{ij} + \frac{h_i}{\chi_j} \delta_{ij} \leq 0$.

We refer reader to the appendix of [FLM] for detailed proof of Propositions 2.2 and 2.3.

Proof of Proposition 2.1. Direct computation shows

$$\rho_i = -f' \sigma_{k-1}(\chi^{-1}|i) \frac{1}{\chi_i^2} > 0.$$

Concavity of ρ follows from strong concavity and $\rho_i > 0$, hence it is suffice to show that

$$\rho_{ij} + \frac{\rho_i}{\chi_j} \delta_{ij} \leq 0.$$

Direct computation yields

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho_{ij} + \frac{\rho_i}{\chi_j} \delta_{ij} &= f'' \sigma_{k-1}(\chi^{-1}|i) \sigma_{k-1}(\chi^{-1}|j) \frac{1}{\chi_i^2} \frac{1}{\chi_j^2} \\ &\quad + f'(\sigma_{k-2}(\chi^{-1}|i, j) \frac{1}{\chi_i^2} \frac{1}{\chi_j^2} (1 - \delta_{ij}) + \sigma_{k-1}(\chi^{-1}|i) \frac{1}{\chi_i^3} \delta_{ij}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $f'' + \frac{f'}{x} \leq 0$, $f'' \geq 0$, we have

$$(2.3) \quad \rho_{ij} + \frac{\rho_i}{\chi_j} \delta_{ij} \leq f'' \left\{ \frac{\sigma_{k-1}(\chi^{-1}|i)\sigma_{k-1}(\chi^{-1}|j)}{\chi_i^2 \chi_j^2} - \sigma_k(\chi^{-1}) \left[\frac{\sigma_{k-2}(\chi^{-1}|i, j)}{\chi_i^2 \chi_j^2} (1 - \delta_{ij}) + \frac{\sigma_{k-1}(\chi^{-1}|i)}{\chi_i^3} \delta_{ij} \right] \right\} \leq 0.$$

The last inequality follows from Proposition 2.3 and the fact

$$(2.4) \quad h_{ij} + \frac{h_i}{\chi_j} \delta_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sigma_k(\chi^{-1})^2} \left\{ \frac{\sigma_{k-1}(\chi^{-1}|i)\sigma_{k-1}(\chi^{-1}|j)}{\chi_i^2 \chi_j^2} - \sigma_k(\chi^{-1}) \left[\frac{\sigma_{k-2}(\chi^{-1}|i, j)}{\chi_i^2 \chi_j^2} (1 - \delta_{ij}) + \frac{\sigma_{k-1}(\chi^{-1}|i)}{\chi_i^3} \delta_{ij} \right] \right\}.$$

□

For a hermitian matrix $A = (a_{i\bar{j}})$, let its eigenvalues be $\chi = (\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)$. For $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_{>0}, \mathbb{R})$, we define

$$F(A) := \rho(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) = f\left(\frac{\sigma_{n-k}(\chi)}{\sigma_n(\chi)}\right).$$

Denote

$$F^{i\bar{j}} := \frac{\partial F}{\partial a_{i\bar{j}}}, \quad F^{i\bar{j}, k\bar{l}} := \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial a_{i\bar{j}} \partial a_{k\bar{l}}}.$$

It is a classical result that the properties of $F(A)$ follow from those of $\rho(\chi)$, see e.g., Theorem 1.4 of [S]. In particular, Proposition 2.1 leads to the following:

Proposition 2.4. *Let $F(A)$ be defined as above, let $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_{>0}, \mathbb{R})$ satisfying (2.1). Then F satisfies:*

- *Ellipticity:* $F^{i\bar{j}} > 0$,
- *Concavity:* $F^{i\bar{j}, k\bar{l}} \leq 0$,
- *Strong concavity:* at $A = \text{diag}(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)$, $F^{i\bar{i}, j\bar{j}} + \frac{F^{i\bar{i}}}{\chi_j} \delta_{ij} \leq 0$.

3. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

3.1. Long time existence.

Differentiating the flow (1.6), we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \right) = F^{i\bar{j}}(\chi) \partial_i \partial_{\bar{j}} \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \right).$$

From Proposition 2.4, $\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}$ satisfies a parabolic equation. By maximum principle, we have

$$\min_{t=0} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \leq \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \leq \max_{t=0} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t},$$

thus

$$\min F(\chi_0) \leq F(\chi_\varphi) = f(\sigma_k(\chi_\varphi^{-1})) \leq \max F(\chi_0).$$

By the monotonicity of f , there exist two universal positive constants λ_1 and λ_2 such that

$$(3.1) \quad \lambda_1 \leq \sigma_k(\chi_\varphi^{-1}) \leq \lambda_2.$$

(3.1) implies χ_φ remains Kähler; i.e., $\chi_\varphi > 0$. Also note that with the bound (3.1), regarding the estimate aspect, f, f', f'' are all bounded.

Concerning the behavior of the flow (1.6) for an arbitrary triple data (M, ω, χ) , we have:

Theorem 3.1. *Let (M, ω, χ) be given as above, the general inverse σ_k flow (1.6) has long time existence.*

Proof. Following [Ch2], we derive a time-dependent C^2 estimates for the potential φ . Since $\chi_\varphi > 0$, it is suffice to derive an upper bound for $G := \text{tr}_\omega \chi_\varphi = g^{p\bar{q}} \chi_{p\bar{q}}$. By a straightforward computation, we get

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial G}{\partial t} &= g^{p\bar{q}} F^{i\bar{j}, k\bar{l}} \chi_{i\bar{j}, p} \chi_{k\bar{l}, \bar{q}} + g^{p\bar{q}} F^{i\bar{j}} \chi_{i\bar{j}, p\bar{q}} \\ &= F^{i\bar{j}} (g^{p\bar{q}} \chi_{p\bar{q}})_{i\bar{j}} + g^{p\bar{q}} F^{i\bar{j}, k\bar{l}} \chi_{i\bar{j}, p} \chi_{k\bar{l}, \bar{q}} + g^{p\bar{q}} F^{i\bar{j}} (\chi_{m\bar{q}} R_{pi\bar{j}}^m - \chi_{m\bar{j}} R_{pi\bar{q}}^m). \end{aligned}$$

The second term is non-positive by the concavity of F . For last term, by choosing normal coordinates, it is easy to see

$$(3.3) \quad g^{p\bar{q}} F^{i\bar{j}} (\chi_{m\bar{q}} R_{pi\bar{j}}^m - \chi_{m\bar{j}} R_{pi\bar{q}}^m) \leq C_3 + C_4 G$$

for two universal positive constants.

Now the upper bound of G follows from standard maximum principle. Consequently, we have long time existence for the flow (1.6). $\square$

In what follows, we will give the proof of the main theorem. Following [FLM], we first derive a partial C^2 estimate for the potential φ depending on the C^0 norm of φ when the condition $[\chi] \in \mathcal{C}_k(\omega)$ holds. Then we follow the method developed in [SW] to get uniform C^0 estimate and the convergence of the flow.

3.2. Partial C^2 estimate.

Without loss of generality, we can assume initial metric χ_0 is the metric χ' in $[\chi]$ satisfying cone condition (1.3). Since different initial data differs by a fixed potential function, the same estimates will carry over. Again, since $\chi_\varphi > 0$, it is suffice to bound χ_φ from above. Consider $G(x, t, \xi) := \log(\chi_{i\bar{j}} \xi^i \bar{\xi}^{\bar{j}}) - A\varphi$, for $x \in M$ and $\xi \in \mathbf{T}_x^{(1,0)} M$ with $g_{i\bar{j}} \xi^i \bar{\xi}^{\bar{j}} = 1$. A is a constant to be determined. Assume G attains maximum at $(x_0, t_0) \in M \times [0, t]$, along the direction ξ_0 . Choose normal coordinates of ω at x_0 , such that $\xi_0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}$ and $(\chi_{i\bar{j}})$ is diagonal at x_0 . By the definition of G , it is easy to see that $\chi_{1\bar{1}} = \chi_1$ is the largest eigenvalue of $\{\chi_{i\bar{j}}\}$ at x_0 . We can assume $t_0 > 0$, otherwise we would be done. Thus locally we consider $H := \log \chi_{1\bar{1}} - A\varphi$ instead, which achieves its maximum at (x_0, t_0) as well.

For simplicity, we denote $\chi = \chi_\varphi$. At x_0 , assume that $\chi = \text{diag}(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)$ with $\chi_1 \geq \chi_2 \geq \dots \geq \chi_n > 0$. We shall use χ to denote the hermitian matrix $(\chi_{i\bar{j}})$ or the set of the eigenvalues of χ_φ interchangeably when no confusion arises.

We compute the evolution of H :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} &= \frac{\chi_{1\bar{1}, t}}{\chi_{1\bar{1}}} - A \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} = \frac{F^{i\bar{j}} \chi_{i\bar{j}, 1\bar{1}} + F^{i\bar{j}, k\bar{l}} \chi_{i\bar{j}, 1} \chi_{k\bar{l}, \bar{1}}}{\chi_{1\bar{1}}} - A \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}, \\ H_{i\bar{i}} &= \frac{\chi_{1\bar{1}, i\bar{i}}}{\chi_{1\bar{1}}} - \frac{|\chi_{1\bar{1}, i}|^2}{\chi_{1\bar{1}}^2} - A \varphi_{i\bar{i}}. \end{aligned}$$

By the maximum principle, at (x_0, t_0) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.4) \quad 0 &\leq \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} - \sum_{i=1}^n F^{i\bar{i}} H_{i\bar{i}} \\
&= \frac{1}{\chi_{1\bar{1}}} F^{i\bar{i}} (\chi_{i\bar{i},1\bar{1}} - \chi_{1\bar{1},i\bar{i}}) - A \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} + A F^{i\bar{i}} \varphi_{i\bar{i}} + B,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$B = \frac{1}{\chi_{1\bar{1}}} \sum_{1 \leq i,j,k,l \leq n} F^{i\bar{j},k\bar{l}} \chi_{i\bar{j},1\bar{k}} \chi_{k\bar{l},1\bar{l}} + \sum_{i=1}^n F^{i\bar{i}} \frac{|\chi_{1\bar{1},i}|^2}{\chi_{1\bar{1}}^2}$$

is the collection of all terms involving the third order derivatives.

We claim that $B \leq 0$, whose proof will be presented at the end of this section. Assuming that, (3.4) leads to

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{1}{\chi_{1\bar{1}}} F^{i\bar{i}} (\chi_{i\bar{i},1\bar{1}} - \chi_{1\bar{1},i\bar{i}}) \geq A \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} - A F^{i\bar{i}} \varphi_{i\bar{i}}.$$

We simplify the left hand side of (3.5) by the Ricci identity:

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.6) \quad LHS &= \frac{1}{\chi_{1\bar{1}}} \sum_{i=1}^n F^{i\bar{i}} (\chi_{i\bar{i}} R_{i\bar{i}1\bar{1}} - \chi_{1\bar{1}} R_{1\bar{1}i\bar{i}}) \\
&\leq \frac{C_1 \sum_{i=1}^n F^{i\bar{i}} \chi_i}{\chi_{1\bar{1}}} - \sum_{i=1}^n F^{i\bar{i}} R_{1\bar{1}i\bar{i}} \\
&\leq \frac{C_0}{\chi_{1\bar{1}}} + C_2 \sum_{i=1}^n F^{i\bar{i}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that for the bound on $\sum_{i=1}^n F^{i\bar{i}} \chi_i$ we have used (3.1) and the following computation:

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.7) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n F^{i\bar{i}} \chi_i &= -f' \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_{k-1}(\chi^{-1}|i) \frac{1}{\chi_i^2} \chi_i \\
&= -f' \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_{k-1}(\chi^{-1}|i) \frac{1}{\chi_i} \\
&= -kf' \sigma_k(\chi^{-1}) \leq C.
\end{aligned}$$

To deal with the right hand side of (3.5), we divide into two cases:

Case 1: $k < n$

In this case, we have the following technical lemma due to the cone condition.

Lemma 3.2. *For $k < n$, assume that $\chi_0 = \chi' \in [\chi]$ is a Kähler form satisfying the cone condition (1.3), also assume that $C_1 \leq \sigma_k(\chi^{-1}) \leq C_2$ for two universal constants, then there exists a universal constant N , such that if $\frac{\chi_1}{\chi_n} \geq N$, then there exists a universal constant $\theta > 0$, such that*

$$(3.8) \quad \sigma_k^{\frac{1}{k}} \left(\frac{\chi_{0i\bar{i}}}{\chi_i^2} \right) \geq (1 + \theta) c_k^{-1/k} \sigma_k^{2/k}(\chi^{-1}).$$

We refer reader to Theorem 2.8 of [FLM] for a proof.

Case 1.(a): $\frac{\chi_1}{\chi_n} \geq N$, where N is given in Lemma 3.2

Applying the Lemma 3.2, we claim that there exists a universal constant $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} - F^{i\bar{i}} \chi_{i\bar{i}} + (1 - \epsilon) F^{i\bar{i}} \chi_{0i\bar{i}} \geq 0.$$

Indeed, by direct computation, we have

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n F^{i\bar{i}} \chi_{0i\bar{i}} &= -f' \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_{k-1}(\chi^{-1}|i) \frac{\chi_{0i\bar{i}}}{\chi_i^2} \\ &\geq -k f' \sigma_k^{1-1/k}(\chi^{-1}) \sigma_k^{\frac{1}{k}} \left(\frac{\chi_{0i\bar{i}}}{\chi_i^2} \right) \\ &\geq -k f' \sigma_k^{1-1/k}(\chi^{-1}) (1 + \theta) c_k^{-1/k} \sigma_k^{2/k}(\chi^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

The first inequality follows from the Gårding's inequality.

Therefore by taking ϵ such that $(1 - \epsilon)(1 + \theta) = 1$, (3.9) is reduced to

$$(3.11) \quad \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} - F^{i\bar{i}} \chi_{i\bar{i}} - k f' \sigma_k^{1+1/k}(\chi^{-1}) c_k^{-1/k} \geq 0.$$

By scaling, we can assume $c_k = 1$, and modifying f by adding a constant, we can further assume that $f(1) = 0$. Plugging $F^{i\bar{i}}$ and letting $x = \sigma_k(\chi^{-1})$, (3.11) is equivalent to

$$(3.12) \quad f(x) + k f'(x) x - k f'(x) x^{1+1/k} \geq 0.$$

The inequality above holds provided $f'' + \frac{f'}{x} \leq 0$ and $f(1) = 0$.

Combining (3.5), (3.6) and (3.9), we have

$$(3.13) \quad A\epsilon \sum_{i=1}^n F^{i\bar{i}} \chi_{0i\bar{i}} \leq \frac{C_1}{\chi_1} + C_2 \sum_{i=1}^n F^{i\bar{i}}.$$

Since χ_0 is a fixed form, there exists a universal constant $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$A\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n F^{i\bar{i}} \leq A\epsilon \sum_{i=1}^n F^{i\bar{i}} \chi_{0i\bar{i}}.$$

Hence in (3.13), taking A such that $A\lambda - C_2 = 1$, an upper bound for χ_1 will follow once we have shown $\sum_{i=1}^n F^{i\bar{i}}$ is bounded from below. For that we have

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n F^{i\bar{i}} &= -f' \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_{k-1}(\chi^{-1}|i) \frac{1}{\chi_i^2} \\ &\geq -k f' \sigma_k^{1-1/k}(\chi^{-1}) \sigma_k^{\frac{1}{k}} \left(\frac{1}{\chi_i^2} \right) \geq \tilde{C} \sigma_k^{1+1/k}(\chi^{-1}) \geq C. \end{aligned}$$

Case 1.(b): $\frac{\chi_1}{\chi_n} \leq N$

In this case, the upper bound for χ_1 follows directly from the lower bound (3.1) on $\sigma_k(\chi^{-1})$. Since

$$(3.15) \quad \lambda_1 \leq \sigma_k(\chi^{-1}) \leq \binom{n}{k} \frac{1}{\chi_n^k},$$

then we get an upper bound for χ_n , thus an upper bound for χ_1 as $\chi_1 \leq N\chi_n$.

Case 2: $k = n$

In this case, we proceed (3.5) directly. Since we only concern f on the closed interval $[\lambda_1, \lambda_2]$, we can assume that f is positive by adding a constant. By (3.6), we have that

$$(3.16) \quad \text{LHS of (3.5)} \leq \frac{C_0}{\chi_1} + C_2 \sum_{i=1}^n F^{i\bar{i}} \leq C_3 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\chi_i}.$$

For right hand side, we have

$$(3.17) \quad \text{RHS of (3.5)} \geq A(-f(c_k) + nf'\sigma_n(\chi^{-1})) + AeC_4 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\chi_i}.$$

Combining (3.16) and (3.17), taking A such that $AeC_4 - C_3 = 1$, we find there exists a universal constant C , such that

$$(3.18) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\chi_i} \leq C.$$

Consequently, we have lower bound on $\chi_i, \forall i$, thus upper bound for χ_1 by (3.1).

Thus we have proved that there exists a universal constant C such that

$$\chi_1 \leq C.$$

This leads to

Theorem 3.3. *Let notations are given as above, we have*

$$|\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi|_{C^0} \leq Ce^{A\varphi - \inf_{M \times [0,t]} \varphi},$$

for two universal constants A and C for any time interval $[0, t]$.

Finally, we prove the claim that

$$B = \frac{1}{\chi_{1\bar{1}}} \sum_{1 \leq i,j,k,l \leq n} F^{i\bar{j},k\bar{l}} \chi_{i\bar{j},1} \chi_{k\bar{l},\bar{1}} + \sum_{i=1}^n F^{i\bar{i}} \frac{|\chi_{1\bar{1},i}|^2}{\chi_{1\bar{1}}^2} \leq 0.$$

We will divide B into three groups:

$$X = \frac{1}{\chi_{1\bar{1}}} \sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} F^{i\bar{i},j\bar{j}} \chi_{i\bar{i},1} \chi_{j\bar{j},\bar{1}} + F^{1\bar{1}} \frac{|\chi_{1\bar{1},1}|^2}{\chi_{1\bar{1}}^2}.$$

The fact that X is non-positive follows from the strong concavity of F in Proposition 2.4.

$$Y = \frac{1}{\chi_{1\bar{1}}} \sum_{i=2}^n F^{i\bar{1},1\bar{i}} \chi_{i\bar{1},1} \chi_{1\bar{i},\bar{1}} + \sum_{i=2}^n F^{i\bar{i}} \frac{|\chi_{1\bar{1},i}|^2}{\chi_{1\bar{1}}^2}.$$

One can show by direct computation that $F^{i\bar{1},1\bar{i}} + \frac{F^{i\bar{i}}}{\chi_1} \leq 0, \forall i$, thus $Y \leq 0$.

$$Z = \frac{1}{\chi_{1\bar{1}}} \sum_{i \neq j, j > 1, k \neq l, k > 1} F^{i\bar{j},k\bar{l}} \chi_{i\bar{j},1} \chi_{k\bar{l},\bar{1}}.$$

Again by direct computation, each term is non-positive. We have thus finished the proof of the claim.

3.3. C^0 estimate and convergence of the flow. Following the method in [SW], we introduce two functionals. The monotonic behavior of these functionals along the flow (1.6) yields the C^0 estimate and convergence of the flow. Define functionals in $\mathcal{P}_{\chi_0}$ by

$$(3.19) \quad \mathcal{F}_{k,\chi_0}(\phi) = \mathcal{F}_k(\phi) = \int_0^1 \int_M \dot{\phi}_t \chi_{\phi_t}^k \wedge \omega^{n-k} dt,$$

where ϕ_t is an arbitrary smooth path in $\mathcal{P}_{\chi_0}$ connecting 0 and ϕ , and $\dot{\phi}_t$ denotes time derivative. One can readily check that this definition is independent of the choice of the path φ_t . Moreover, define

$$(3.20) \quad \mathcal{F}_{k,n}(\phi) = \binom{n}{k} \mathcal{F}_k(\phi) - c_{n-k} \mathcal{F}_n(\phi).$$

The first variation of $\mathcal{F}_{n-k,n}$ is

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{F}_{n-k,n}(\phi) = \int_M \dot{\phi}_t \left(\binom{n}{k} \chi_{\phi_t}^{n-k} \wedge \omega^k - c_k \chi_{\phi_t}^n \right).$$

It follows the Euler-Lagrange equation of $\mathcal{F}_{n-k,n}$ is precisely the critical equation (1.1):

$$c_k \chi_{\phi}^n = \binom{n}{k} \chi_{\phi}^{n-k} \wedge \omega^k.$$

We quote the following uniqueness result, the Theorem 4.1 of [FLM].

Proposition 3.4 (Uniqueness). *The solution to the critical equation (1.1) is unique up to a constant.*

Proposition 3.5 (Monotonicity of $\mathcal{F}_{n-k,n}$). *The functional $\mathcal{F}_{n-k,n}$ is decreasing along the flow (1.6).*

Proof. By direct computation, we have

$$(3.21) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{F}_{n-k,n}(\varphi_t) &= \int_M \dot{\varphi}_t \left(\binom{n}{k} \chi_{\varphi_t}^{n-k} \wedge \omega^k - c_k \chi_{\varphi_t}^n \right) \\ &= \int_M (f(\sigma_k(\chi_{\varphi_t}^{-1})) - f(c_k)) (\sigma_k(\chi_{\varphi_t}^{-1}) - c_k) \chi_{\varphi_t}^n < 0. \end{aligned}$$

The integrand is of the form $(f(a) - f(b))(a - b)$ which is negative since $f' < 0$. $\square$

Proposition 3.6 (Monotonicity of $\mathcal{F}_{n-k}$). *The functional $\mathcal{F}_{n-k}$ is non-increasing along the flow (1.6).*

Proof. First define $g(x) = f(\frac{1}{x})$. It follows that g is concave iff $f'' + \frac{f'}{x} \leq 0$. Then by Jensen's inequality, we have

$$(3.22) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\int_M \chi^{n-k} \wedge \omega^k} \int_M f(\sigma_k(\chi^{-1})) \chi^{n-k} \wedge \omega^k &= \frac{1}{\int_M \chi^{n-k} \wedge \omega^k} \int_M g\left(\frac{\sigma_n(\chi)}{\sigma_{n-k}(\chi)}\right) \chi^{n-k} \wedge \omega^k \\ &\leq g\left(\frac{1}{\int_M \chi^{n-k} \wedge \omega^k} \int_M \frac{\sigma_n(\chi)}{\sigma_{n-k}(\chi)} \chi^{n-k} \wedge \omega^k\right) \\ &= g\left(\frac{1}{c_k}\right) = f(c_k). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(3.23) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathcal{F}_{n-k} = \int_M (f(\sigma_k(\chi_\varphi^{-1})) - f(c_k)) \chi_\varphi^{n-k} \wedge \omega^k \leq 0.$$

□

Finally, we single out the essential steps for the rest of the proof. By Theorem 4.5 of [FLM], we have uniform bounds for the oscillation of φ_t , i.e.,

$$\|\sup \varphi_t - \inf \varphi_t\| \leq C.$$

Then using functional $\mathcal{F}_{n-k}$, we obtain a suitable normalization $\hat{\varphi}_t$ of φ_t , for which we can get uniform C^0 estimates, thus uniform C^2 estimates by Theorem 3.3. Higher order estimates follow from the Evans-Krylov and Schauder estimates. The corresponding metric thus converges to the critical metric solving inverse σ_k problem (1.1).

REFERENCES

- [A1] B. Andrews *Contraction of convex hypersurfaces in Euclidean space*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations. **2** (1994), no. 2, 151-171.
- [A2] B. Andrews *Pinching estimates and motion of hypersurfaces by curvature functions*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **608** (2007), 17-33.
- [B] S. Brendle *Convergence of the Yamabe flow for arbitrary initial energy*, J. Differential Geom. **69** (2005), no.2, 217-278.
- [CK] H. Cao and J. Keller *About Calabi problem: a finite dimensional approach*, arXiv:1102.1097.
- [CGY] A. Chang, M. Gursky and P. Yang *An equation of Monge-Ampere type in conformal geometry, and four-manifolds of Ricci curvature*, Ann. of Math. (2) **155** (2002), no. 3, 709-787.
- [Ch1] X. Chen *On the lower bound of the Mabuchi energy and its application*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **12** (2000), 607-623.
- [Ch2] X. Chen *A New Parabolic Flow in Kähler Manifolds*, Comm. Ana. Geometry,, **12**, no.4, (2004), 837-852.
- [D] S. Donaldson *Moment maps and diffeomorphisms*, Asian J. Math. **3**, no. 1 (1999), 1-16.
- [FLM] H. Fang, M. Lai and X. Ma, *On a class of fully nonlinear flows in Kähler geometry*, J. Reine. Angew. Math. **653** (2011), 189-220.
- [GW] P. Guan and G. Wang, *Local estimates for a class of fully nonlinear equations arising from conformal geometry*, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2003) no. 26, 1413-1432.
- [H] Z. Hou, *Complex Hessian equation on Kähler manifold*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN, (2009), no. 16, 3098-3111.
- [HMW] Z. Hou, X. Ma and D. Wu, *A second order estimate for complex Hessian equations on a compact Kähler manifold*. Math. Res. Lett. **17** (2010), no.3, 547-561.
- [SW] J. Song and B. Weinkove, *The convergence and singularities of the J-flow with applications to the Mabuchi energy*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **61** (2008), no. 2, 210-229.
- [S] J. Spruck, *Geometric aspects of theory of fully nonlinear elliptic equations*, <http://www.math.jhu.edu/~js/msri.notes.pdf>.
- [V] J. Viaclovsky, *Conformal geometry, contact geometry, and the calculus of variations*, Duke Math. J. **101** (2000), no. 2, 283-316.

HAO FANG, 14 MLH, IOWA CITY, IA, 52242
E-mail address: haofang@math.uiowa.edu

MIJIA LAI, 915 Hylan Building, University of Rochester, RC Box 270138, Rochester, NY 14627
E-mail address: lai@math.rochester.edu